Procedure for considering personation cases

Extract from the Examination and Assessment Regulation 23/24, Section 2, Academic Misconduct

See:<u>Regulations for examinations and assessment : Academic standards : Academic Quality and Partnerships : University of Sussex</u>

Types of academic misconduct

7-8. Personation

'Personation in written submissions is where someone or software (unless explicitly permitted in the assessment guidance from the module convenor) other than the student prepares the work, part of the work, or provides ubstantial assistance with work submitted for assessment. This includes but is not limited to: Al generated text or responses; purchasing essays from essay banks; commissioning someone else to write an assessment; writing an assessment for someonenelse (in where no benefit is gained by the student producing the assessment); using a proofreader where this is not allowed; using substantive changes proposed by a proofreader or third party (person or electronic service) that do not ad -3.3 (I)1(o)-7 (af2 [(b)- 5.3 (a)h)5.3 (a0.6 (th)5.2 U(a)2.7 (n)3.2 f)73.2 (v)-2.(o)-7 (as

Raising a concern of personation

- 1. A case of personation can breaked by:
 - a staff member following a concern raised as part of the marking process (based on the assessment being beyond the assessment task set, the assessment being very good but not specifically following the assessment task, an eclectic/overly broad bibliography etc)
 - (ii) a staff member based on information from a student or member of staff;
 - (iii) the exam board (MAB or PAB) based on a concern that the mark for an assessment was significantly higher than the student's other marks and/or the marks of the cohort.

A case cannot be considered where progression/award has already been confirmed by the PAB.

- 2. StandardNotice of Adviceto be sent by School to inform student that a concern regarding personation is being considered.
- 3. Investigating Officer to ensure the Academic Misconduct Cover Sheet is completed.
- 4. Investigating Officer to arrange School team meeting and provision potential information set out in Appendix 1(ii).

4.

In advance of the Panel

- 9. The Investigating Officenay contact the student in advance of the Panel toodiss the concerns broadly and explain what wilh appen at the Panel
- 10. The student will be invited to review the Evidence File, in advance of the, Pranel accordance withstandard practice.

At the Panel

- 11. The Panel will meet to discutive personation concerns raised the Course Conventionay accompany the Module Convenor who would normally attend the Panel to present the case. They would respond to question is more thank to any subject based queries.
- 12. Questions put to the student would be designed to establish the authenticity and authorship of the assessment. They would focus on the assessment organiaaticpreparation research conducted and editing undertaken. The Panel Chair will ethetrequestions are appropriate and encourage discussion regarding the authorship of the assessment. The questioning mushot becomea VIVA as a verbal test of the learning outcomes that the assessment task was designed to test.
- 13. Panel members will discuss the misconduct concerns raised and agree an outcome and a penalty. Panel meetings may proceed in the absence of the studentess the Panel Chair decides the student's presence is key to reaching a conclusion (this is standard practice for a Panel).

(iii) School team decision and rationale: to be completed by School Investigating Officer

The School team met on (date)

Thefollowing were present at the School team meeting (list those present):

.....

The School team decision can be informed/hey/following:

School to review	comment
consistency of style	
consistency offormatting	
consistency of use of	
language/grammar	
Feedback on student's	
academic performance in the	
assessment, based on	
assessment task and marking	
criteria.	

Decision(to also be recorded on the Academic Misconduct Cover Sheet)

Delete as appropriate:

- (a) TheSchool teamdecided that personation was unlikely to have occurred ('no case' lightly be sent to student).
- (b) The School team decided that personation was likely to have occurase (will be referred to Panel).

Rationale for decisionr(o need toalso recordon the Academic Misconduct Cover Sheet)

.....

School Investigating Officer:

Print name: Date: Date: